

ASTM Section D02.B0.10

Minutes of Meeting on June 16, 2008

Call to Order

ASTM Section D02.B0.10 on Standards Acceleration met on Monday, June 16, 2008 at 8:00 am in the Plaza B room at the Hyatt Regency Vancouver in Vancouver, British Columbia. There were six members in attendance. A list of attendees is shown in Attachment 1.

Minutes for June 18, 2007

The December 3, 2007 meeting minutes were approved.

Membership

Membership in Section 10 was reviewed. The membership list is shown in Attachment 2.

Facilitator Reports

Reports from facilitators were received. Written reports submitted are shown in Attachment 3.

Nine revised test methods and two specification revisions were balloted and approved at the D02 level. There were no negative votes, and there was one comment on a specification revision (Item 3 in D02 Ballot 08-01). The commenter recommended improvement of a technically incorrect statement. This comment was considered and a modified statement was proposed that the voter agreed with. With this one editorial change, it is recommended that all eleven D02 ballot items be forwarded to the next ASTM level.

The T-12 test method was balloted concurrently within Subcommittee B and Committee D02. One negative vote was received and later withdrawn. The test method number is D7422.

The L-42 test method was balloted in D02. It received three comments resulting in some editorial changes. The test method number is D7452.

The GL-5 specification was balloted in D02. It received one comment resulting in an editorial change. The test method number is D7450.

The ISB test method is currently being balloted within Subcommittee B.

The ISM test method was balloted within Subcommittee B. One negative voter noted that the precision statement was missing from the balloted version of the test method. ASTM staff judged the insertion of a precision statement to be a technical change and recommended that the test method, which now includes the precision statement, be moved to a concurrent Subcommittee B / D02 ballot.

Facilitator Assignments

Facilitator assignments were reviewed (see Attachment 4). Several new assignments were made: Hap Thompson will facilitate the Sequence VID, Terry Bates will facilitate the ROBO, and Lyle Bowman will handle a D02 assignment regarding the use of SI units in test methods.

Old Business

The Section 10 Scope and Objectives (Attachment 5) were reviewed. No changes were made.

There was no other old business.

New Business

There was no new business.

Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for December 8, 2008 in Tampa, Florida.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:40 am.

John L. Zalar
Chairman, ASTM D02.B0.10

Attachments



ASTM ATTENDANCE SHEET

Please Print Clearly

MAIN/SUB/TASK GROUP: D02-B0.10 LOCATION: VANCOUVER, BC DATE: JUNE 16, 2008

Name	Company & Address	Phone	Fax	E-Mail
Lyle Bowman	N.A.	415-479-3004		jbfoodie@comcast.net
Terry Bata	Manests Consultancy Ltd	+44-151-342-1193		batastergw@aol.com
Bob Olree	Pontiac MI	248-417-8494	-	robert.olree@gm.com
E. L. Hapstrome	Global PPL Stds Assoc.	904-287-9596	Some	hapstrome@aol.com
Joe Franklin	Intutek-AR 5404 Bowden Rd. SA 78724	214-522-4671	210-684-6074	joe.franklin@intutek.com
JOHN ZACAR	ASTM TMC	412-365-1005	412-365-1047	JLZ@ASTMTMC.CMU.EDU

IF YOU WISH TO JOIN THE COMMITTEE PLEASE SEE YOUR STAFF MANAGER OR STOP AT THE ASTM MEMBERSHIP DESK.

ASTM D02.B0.10 Membership List

T. Bates
50 Tower Rd. North
Heswall, Wirral CH60, 6RS
UNITED KINGDOM
+44-151-342-1193
batesterryw@aol.com

L. O. Bowman
728 Montecillo Road
San Rafael, CA 94903
415-479-3004
FAX 415-472-1570
jbfoodie@comcast.net

G. E. Callis
Spectrum Corporation
2019 SE Oxtown Drive
Port St. Lucie, FL 34952-6066
561-337-5060
FAX 561-337-5061
ecallis@spectrumcorporation.com

M. Cooper
Chevron Oronite Co.
4502 Centerview Dr. Suite 210
San Antonio, TX 78228
210-731-5606
FAX 210-731-5699
mawc@chevrontexaco.com

J. Franklin
Intertek Automotive Research
5404 Bandera Road
San Antonio, TX 78238
210-523-4671
FAX 210-684-6074
joe.franklin@intertek.com

R. M. Olree
GM Powertrain
823 Joslyn Avenue
Mail Code 483-730-472
Pontiac, MI 48340-2920
248-417-8494
FAX 248-857-4441
robert.olree@gm.com

T. Selby
Savant, Inc.
4800 James Savage Road
Midland, MI 48642
989-496-2301
FAX 989-496-3438
tselby@savantgroup.com

P. L. Strigner
31 Seguin Street
Ottawa, Ontario K1J 6P2
CANADA
613-746-0647
FAX 613-746-9292
stringbeans@ca.inter.net

W. Sullivan
W. T. Sullivan, Inc.
5 Scheiber Drive
Brick, NJ 08723
908-930-3512
FAX 267-220-7750
wtsullivan@comcast.net

E. A. Hap Thompson
PPL Standards Development
404 Twin Oaks Lane
St. Johns, FL 32259
904-287-9596
FAX 904-287-9596
hapithom@aol.com

B. Weber
Southwest Research Institute
6220 Culebra Road
San Antonio, TX 78228-0510
210-522-5911
FAX 210-684-7523
bweber@swri.edu

J. Zalar
ASTM Test Monitoring Center
6555 Penn Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15206
412-365-1005
FAX 412-365-1047
jlz@astmtmc.cmu.edu

Facilitator Report to ASTM Section D02.B0.10 Standards Acceleration

Facilitator: Terry Bates

Report period: Jan. 2008 to June 2008

Cummins ISB method

Following further discussions with the Cummins Surveillance Panel chairman, Jim Moritz, at the Dec. 2007 D02 meetings, all outstanding issues were resolved. An agreed Draft 4, including input from Lyle Bowman, was produced on Jan. 15, 2008. This was presented to the Surveillance Panel members at their meeting on March 31, 2008. There were no comments from panel members and the go-ahead for a ballot of D02.B0 members was received on May 15, 2008 (some delay arose because of illness of the Surveillance Panel secretary). The precision statement was updated using the latest TMC data and the Sub B ballot was initiated on May 27, 2008 with a closing date of June 27.

Storage Solubility and Compatibility Test (SSCT) for Gear Oils

Changes agreed during a teleconference of Surveillance Panel members in Sept. 2007 were received in Dec. 2007. Substantive comments on the original draft were also received from a Surveillance Panel member in Dec. 2007. As a consequence, a new draft 2 incorporating this new input was produced and sent to the Surveillance Panel chairman on Feb. 20, 2008.

As a result of a chaser e-mail to the chairman on May 11, 2008, it was discovered that the transmission of Feb. 20 had not been received, although a copy to a Surveillance Panel member had been received. The method was resent successfully on May 15 and is currently under review by the Surveillance Panel who will give their feedback to Michael Kasimirsky at the TMC.

To avoid delays caused by non-receipt of drafts, it is suggested that facilitators ask recipients of drafts to confirm they have received the documents. In the absence of a response, a chaser e-mail should be sent 2 weeks after the initial transmission.

REPORT TO D0.B0.10
June 16, 2008

By L.O. Bowman

Since the December 2007 D-2 Meeting, my time has been partially spent on utilizing information letters to develop revisions of nine test methods and two revisions of Specification D 4485 for D-2 ballot. Three new test methods (ISB, ISM and C-13), several information letter drafts, and several editor proofs were also reviewed. A total of approximately 36 hours was spent on all of these items.

Christian Castanien voted affirmative and submitted a comment on one D 4485 ballot item (Item 3, WK17083, in the D02 (01-08) Ballot). He pointed out that the proposed addition of the Appendix X6 item, the "Mack T-10 Merit Calculations Using Mack T-12 Test Results", contained an inaccurate statement, and suggested that a clarification be made.

The inaccurate statement is in the X6.2 section, "Merit System Components". In the X6.2.3 sub-section, it says "Minimums – best achievable result". Mr. Castanien said "This is not technically accurate. The measured parameter can be better than the minimum but no further merit points are awarded."

In an email message to the voter, it was proposed to revise the "Minimums - best achievable result" phrase to "Minimums - limit of best performance". The voter concurred with that proposal. Greg Shank (Mack's representative) was emailed twice to see if he had any comments about this proposal, and there was no response.

The revised section would now read as follows:

"X6.2 Merit System Components"

X6.2.1 *Anchors* – anchor performance level based on one test

X6.2.2 *Maximums* – limit of acceptable performance

X6.2.3 *Minimums* – limit of best performance" (rather than the current 'best achievable result')

It is recommended that the proposed revision be made editorially.

It was noted that the T-10 (Section A10.1.3), T-12 (Section A8.1.3), the ISM (Section A16.1.3), and the C-13 (Section A15.1.3) also contain the inaccurate statement mentioned by Mr. Castanien. Subsequent information letters for these test methods should propose the revised wording above.

It is recommended that the eleven approved D-2 ballot items be forwarded to the next ASTM level as balloted, including the editorial change in the one D 4485 ballot item as noted above.

Facilitator Report to ASTM Section B0.10

June 16, 2008

Cummins ISM Test Procedure

The Cummins ISM test procedure was forwarded to Lyle Bowman for a final review in early 2008 before being submitted for ballot in Subcommittee B in March 2008.

Toward the end of March I received an e-mail note from Caitlin Farrell of ASTM indicating that the precision statements were not included in the procedure. I obtained the current precision information from Jeff Clark, and forwarded the information to Caitlin Farrell.

In mid May I received a letter from ASTM indicated there was a negative on the ballot from Rey Montemayor of Esso indicating that the precision statements in Table 6 were not in the version of the procedure that was balloted. I attempted to resolve this with Rey and responded that the precision information had been inadvertently left out the procedure and had been forwarded to ASTM. I had also offered to work with ASTM Editorial to insert the precision information to prevent having to re-ballot the procedure.

Rey responded and indicated that the precision information must be included in the balloted version of the procedure. David Bradley indicated that ASTM Staff cannot insert this type of technical change into the document and call it an editorial change. He also indicated that this information needs to be accepted as part of the ballot process. David recommend that we go back to a Concurrent Ballot as soon as possible to insert a corrected Table 6.

I contacted Jim Moritz, the Cummins Surveillance Panel chairman and suggested that we ballot the precision information within the Cummins Surveillance Panel and attempt to resolve this at ASTM in Vancouver. Jim issued an e-mail ballot with a closing date of June 6, 2008. I have been tied up with some personal issues and work related issues and have not spoken to Jim about this since the surveillance panel ballot closed.

Because of the ongoing personal issues, I will not be at ASTM in Vancouver.

If the issue cannot be resolved at the Subcommittee B meeting in Vancouver, I will resubmit the procedure for ballot with the precision information included.

Sincerely,

Mark Cooper

E. A. Hap Thompson
404 Twin Oaks Lane
St. Johns, FL 32259
904-287-9596
June 16, 2008

T-12

The T-12 Test Method was balloted within Subcommittee B and Committee D02 (concurrently) (08-01). It received a negative from Rey Montemayor which was withdrawn. I explained to him that the test method was previously balloted in SC B and indicated why it was balloted concurrently in SC B and D02. The test method number is D7422.

L-42

The L-42 Test Method was balloted in D02 (08-01). It received three comments from Lyle Bowman. The test method was editorially changed. The D02 number is D7452.

GL-5

The GL-5 Specification was balloted in D02 (08-01). The standard received one comment from Lyle Bowman. It was editorially changed. The D02 number is D7450.

C-13

I received this draft test method in early April 2008. The draft standard was reviewed by me during April and May. My recommended changes were sent to the SP chairman in early May for comments. To date the SP chairman has not completed his review.

D 5760

I was assigned to review recommended changes to Table 1 of D5760 during mid-May. The standard was reviewed and recommended changes were sent to the SP chairman in early June.

Respectfully submitted,
E. A. Hap Thompson

E. A. Hap Thompson, Facilitator

Current Facilitator Assignments

Facilitator

Methods

T. Bates

ISB, SSCT, ROBO

L. O. Bowman

Methods Updates, D4485, SI Units

P. L. Strigner

None

E. A. Thompson

C13, D 5760, Sequence VID

M. Cooper

ISM

ASTM Section D02.B0.10 Standards Acceleration

Scope and Objectives

Scope

The section on Standards Acceleration maintains a staff of facilitators to expedite the establishment of standards relating to automotive lubricants. Facilitators' activities include upgrading test procedures to ASTM test methods, and revising standards as needed once they are adopted; the *Form and Style for ASTM Standards* to be followed in all cases.

Section 10 activities will include but are not limited to the following:

1. Determine priority among documents to be advanced to standards with the help of facilitators, based upon input from the appropriate subcommittee.
2. Evaluate and approve new facilitator candidates, as justified by the need for new facilitators.
3. Assign specific documents to selected facilitators.
4. Hear and evaluate the facilitators' reports presented at semiannual meetings of Committee D02. (Each facilitator's report shall be brief and shall include progress, problems, and costs related to his or her standards development activity.)
5. Assist the Test Monitoring Center in establishing funding for the Standards Acceleration Program.
6. Process revisions to D 4485, *Standard Specification for Performance of Engine Oils*.
7. Carry out any other activities relative to the Standards Acceleration Program as needed, or as directed by Subcommittee D02.B0.

Objectives

1. Report a summary to Subcommittee D02.B0 and to appropriate sections of the Standards Acceleration Program status, including actions for approval, at each semi-annual meeting of Subcommittee D02.B0.

Date of last review: 6/08