Committee D-2 ON PETROLEUM PRODUCTS AND LUBRICANTS



Chairman: EDMUND W WHITE, U.S. Navy Annapolis, David Taylor Research Center, Code 2832, Annapolis, MD 21402

(301-267-2860) FAX: 301-267-2640

First Vice-Chairman: ALBERT W. DREWS, U.O.P. Research Center, 50 E. Algonquin Rd., Box 5016, Des Plaines, IL 60017-5016 (708-391-3337).

FAX: 708-391-3330

Second Vice-Chairman: NOLAN D. SMITH, North Carolina State Agric. Dept., 1 Edenton St., P.O. Box 27647, Raleigh, NC 27611 (919-733-3313)

FAX: 919-733-0999

Secretary: JAMES K. WALTERS, American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L St., NW, Washington, DC 20005 (202-682-8000)

Staff Manager: EARL R. SULLIVAN (215-299-5514)

March 10, 1993

Reply to: Gordon R. Farnsworth

Exxxon Chemical Co.

P.O. Box 536 Linden, NJ 07036

Tel: (908) 474-3351 Fax: (908) 474-3597

Doc. No. 93PPM 756

TGC BALLOT REQUIRES ACTION

To: Technical Guidance Committee Members

Late last year, I circulated a letter ballot to get group acceptance of a "Test Development Flow Plan" which could be issued as an ASTM guide. There were many comments on this initial draft. Based on these inputs, a new Test Development Flow Plan draft has been written and is attached for your review. The changes are highlighted with shading. There are two flow plans:

- Surveillance Panel is test developer.
- OEM is test developer.

Please review these documents and then return the accompanying ballot proposing these drafts be forwarded to DO2.BO for ballot as an ASTM guide. I need your response by April 2. If there are no substantial negatives I will forward to DO2.BO for ballot. If consensus is not apparent by a significant majority then this issue will remain an agenda item for our next meeting.

Very truly yours,

GORDON R. FARNSWORTH, Chairman

Technical Guidance Committee

pjr

Attachments

Technical Guidance Committee

Ballot on "Test Development Flow Plan"

Return by April 2 to:

Gordon R. Farnsworth Exxon Chemical Company P.O. Box 536 Linden, NJ 07036

Fax: (908) 474-3597

| Proposal: | The two attached documents |
|------------|---|
| | Test Development Flow Plan when Surveillance Panel is Test Developer. |
| | • Test Development Flow Plan when OEM is Test Developer. |
| | should be forwarded to DO2.BO for ballot as an ASTM "guide". |
| | I agree with the proposal |
| | I disagree with the proposal |
| | |
| Comments: | |
| oommenes. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Name: | Date: |
| Signature: | <u>·</u> |

Company:

ASTM D.02 SUBCOMMITTEE B RESEARCH REPORT - TEST DEVELOPMENT FLOW PLAN WHEN SURVEILLANCE PANEL IS TEST DEVELOPER

The following document was proposed at the September 17, 1992 Technical Guidance Committee meeting as a checklist to be used by Section Chairmen in Subcommittee B of ASTM Committee D.02 when bringing new test procedures on-line as ASTM Test Methods and ASTM Monitored Tests. It breaks the test development process down into tasks to be completed by the Surveillance Panel or Task Force, Subcommittee B and Oil Classification Panel.

This Flow Plan was designed to be used by the Surveillance Panel or Task Force where there is no OEM acting as Test Developer, or where an OEM limits their participation.

| and the second second | ITEMS TO BE CHECKED DURING TEST DEVELOPMENT |
|-----------------------|--|
| Need for Test | Identify need for new test in conjunction with SAE, MVMA, EMA, etc This need may be as simple as replacing a test with obsolete hardware, or as involved as attempting to simulate a known field problem(s). Collect field test data or run field tests, as necessary to document problem. Assure that no existing test or oil category will satisfy the performance need. |
| Hardware | Identify test hardware platform. This should be a platform in which the OEM intends to support all major components for a minimum of five years after the test is included in a performance category. |
| | Identify critical parts (Parts known to affect test severity and/or precision). Establish specifications and processes for assuring consistent hardware quality. Obtain commitments for long-term (5-year) supply of hardware and critical test components (fuel, solvents, cleansing agents). |
| | Release hardware to Industry for matrix testing. |

Revision Date: February 8, 1993 Page 1

ASTM SUBCOMMITTEE B -- TEST DEVELOPMENT FLOW PLAN SURVEILLANCE PANEL AS TEST DEVELOPER

| | ITEM | IS TO BE CHECKED DURING TEST DEVELOPMENT, continued |
|-----------------|------|--|
| Procedure | | Establish test procedure. Establish rating/parts evaluation methods. Establish pass/fail parameters. Establish success criteria (precision, discrimination). Assure that number of pass/fail parameters is not excessive. Establish test stand design requirements. |
| | | ITEMS TO BE CHECKED BY SURVEILLANCE PANEL |
| | | Establish test development time goals and create Gantt Chart. |
| | | Establish source and specification for fuel. |
| | | Develop rating/parts evaluation methods. |
| ent | | Establish consistent assembly practices among test facilities. |
| velopment | | Select reference oils which discriminate quality, are current chemistry, can be blended in five-year supplies. |
| Procedural Deve | | Select at least one reference oil which yields passing results in all tests for the category which the proposed test is destined to be a part. |
| Proced | | Develop test procedure to meet ASTM standard Blue Book requirements. |
| | | Perform laboratory visitation to assure equivalency of test stands and that Surveillance Panel has resolved any variations found in test stand configurations. |
| | | Document correlation of pass/fail parameters with field experience. |

Revision Date: February 8, 1993 Page 2

ASTM SUBCOMMITTEE B -- TEST DEVELOPMENT FLOW PLAN SURVEILLANCE PANEL AS TEST DEVELOPER

| | ITE | MS TO BE CHECKED BY SURVEILLANCE PANEL, continued |
|------------------------|--------|--|
| Reference Requirements | | Maintain on-going quality improvement program to identify and handle new critical parts Establish calibration requirements (frequency, control charting, etc). Develop operational validity requirements. Conduct statistically designed matrices on reference oils to quantify precision, discrimination and field correlation. Obtain commitment and participation of ASTM Test Monitoring Center. |
| Data Handling | | Develop severity adjustment system. Establish statistically based Multiple Test Acceptance Requirements (MTAC). Complete writing Test Development report (file as ASTM Research Report). Presentation of Test Development report and all data to Oil Classification panel. |
| Test Acceptance | EMS TO | BE CHECKED BY SUBCOMMITTEE B / OIL CLASSIFICATION PANEL Establish proposed performance limits Ballot in affected Subcommittee. Resolution of negative ballots. |

Revision Date: February 8, 1993 Page 3

ASTM SUBCOMMITTEE B -- TEST DEVELOPMENT FLOW PLAN SURVEILLANCE PANEL AS TEST DEVELOPER

| | ITEMS 7 | TO BE CHECKED BY TEST SPONSOR / SURVEILLANCE PANEL / OIL CLASSIFICATION PANEL |
|-------------|---------|--|
| | | Monitor Test Severity and Precision |
| Test Maint. | | A process is in place to provide for the continual improvement of the test. |
| | | Develop a process for the tracking (use or reject), identification and improvement of critical test parts. |
| | | Maintain test lab equivalency |

ASTM D.02 SUBCOMMITTEE B RESEARCH REPORT - TEST DEVELOPMENT FLOW PLAN WHEN OEM IS TEST DEVELOPER

The following document was proposed at the September 17, 1992 Technical Guidance Committee meeting as a checklist to be used by Section Chairmen in Subcommittee B of ASTM Committee D.02 when bringing new test procedures on-line as ASTM Test Methods and ASTM Monitored Tests. It breaks the test development process down into tasks to be completed by the Test Developer, Surveillance Panel or Task Force.

This flow plan was written from the perspective that the Test Developer is an OEM who desires to have a lubricant test developed around their hardware. It should be noted that the Test Developer's input is not necessarily limited or confined to any specific area on this flow plan. Their input is integral throughout the test development process.

| | ITEMS TO BE CHECKED BY TEST DEVELOPER |
|---------------|--|
| Need for Test | Identify need for new test in conjunction with SAE, MVMA, EMA, etc This need may be as simple as replacing a test with obsolete hardware, or as involved as attempting to simulate a known field problem(s). Collect field test data or run field tests, as necessary to document problem. Assure that no existing test or oil category will satisfy the performance need. |
| | |
| | Identify test hardware platform. This should be a platform in which the OEM intends to support all major components for a minimum of five years after the test is included in a performance category. |
| ıre | Identify critical parts (Parts known to affect test severity and/or precision). |
| Hardware | Establish specifications and processes for assuring consistent hardware quality. |
| | Obtain commitments for long-term (5-year) supply of hardware and critical test components (fuel, solvents, cleansing agents). |
| | Establish test procedure. |
| | Release hardware to Industry for matrix testing. |

Revision Date: March 1, 1993 Page 1

ASTM SUBCOMMITTEE B -- TEST DEVELOPMENT FLOW PLAN OEM AS TEST DEVELOPER

| | | ITEMS TO BE CHECKED BY TEST DEVELOPER, continued |
|------------------------|--------|---|
| Procedure | | Establish specification for test fuel. Develop rating/parts evaluation methods. Establish pass/fail parameters. Establish success criteria (precision, discrimination). |
| | | Establish test stand design requirements. |
| IT | EMS TO | BE CHECKED BY SURVEILLANCE PANEL IN COOPERATION WITH THE TEST DEVELOPER |
| Procedural Development | | Establish test development goals and create Gantt Chart. Establish source for test fuel. Establish rating/parts evaluation methods. |
| | | Develop consistent assembly practices among facilities. Select reference oils which discriminate quality, are current chemistry, can be blended in five-year supplies. |
| | | Select at least one reference oil which yields passing results in all tests for the category which the proposed test is destined to be a part. |
| | | Develop test procedure to meet ASTM standard Blue Book Requirements. Perform laboratory visitation to assure equivalency of test stands and tha Surveillance Panel has resolved any variations found in test stand configurations. |
| | | Assure that pass/fail parameters show connection to field experience. |

Revision Date: March 1, 1993

ASTM SUBCOMMITTEE B -- TEST DEVELOPMENT FLOW PLAN OEM AS TEST DEVELOPER

ITEMS TO BE CHECKED BY SURVEILLANCE PANEL IN COOPERATION WITH THE TEST DEVELOPER, continued

| Reference Requirements | | Maintain on-going quality improvement program to identify and handle new critical parts Establish calibration requirements (frequency, control charting, etc). Develop operational validity requirements. Conduct statistically designed matrices on reference oils to quantify precision, discrimination and field correlation. Obtain commitment and participation of ASTM Test Monitoring Center. |
|------------------------|----------|--|
| Data Handling | | Develop severity adjustment system. Establish statistically based Multiple Test Acceptance Requirements (MTAC). Complete writing Test Development report (file as ASTM Research Report). Presentation of Test Development report and all data to Oil Classification panel. |
| Test Acceptance | EMS TO F | Establish proposed performance limits Ballot in affected Subcommittee. Resolution of negative ballots. |

Revision Date: March 1, 1993 Page 3

ASTM SUBCOMMITTEE B -- TEST DEVELOPMENT FLOW PLAN OEM AS TEST DEVELOPER

| | ITEMS | TO BE CHECKED BY TEST SPONSOR / SURVEILLANCE PANEL / OIL CLASSIFICATION PANEL |
|-------------|-------|--|
| | | Monitor Test Severity and Precision |
| Test Maint. | | A process is in place to provide for the continual improvement of the test. |
| | | Develop a process for the tracking (use or reject), identification and improvement of critical test parts. |
| <u> </u> | | Maintain test lab equivalency |

TECHNICAL GUIDANCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS

G. Ballard

S.P. Bergin

G.E. Callis

C. Cusano

G. R. Farnsworth

W.P. Groff

G.H. Guinther

A.C. Hahn

D.H. Heath

D.E. Larkin

J. Larson

R. Romano

G. Shank

J. Stimson, Jr.

M. Sutherland

J.L. Zalar

Lubrizol Corporation General Motors Research Chevron Res. and Tech. Co.

Cummins Engine Co. Exxon Chemical Co.

Southwest Research Institute Ethyl Petroleum Additives

Caterpillar, Inc.

Lubrizol Corp.

Detroit Diesel Allison

Ethyl Petroleum Add. Div.

Ford Motor Co.

Mack Trucks, Inc.

Labeco

Chevron Res. & Tech. Co.

ASTM Test Monitoring Center

For Information Only

T. Franklin

J.W. Glaser

R.L. Johnson

N. Nann

R. Oliver

J. Rutherford

C. Seymour

B. Shoffner

V. Wiszniewski

Royal Lubricants Co. Inc. EG&G Automotive Res. Inc.

Lubrizol Corp.

Texaco, Inc. Texaco Inc.

Chevron Res. & Tech. Co

Castrol, Inc.

EG&G Automotive Res. Inc.

Mobil Res. & Dev. Corp.