**LTMS 2 Weekly HD Teleconference 13– September 8, 2010**

**Attendance:**

**Bishop, Zack**

**Boese, Doyle**

**Buckingham, Janet**

**Campbell, Bob**

**Castanien, Chris**

**Clark, Jeff**

**Cooper, Mark**

**Gutzwiler, Jim**

**Mason, Bob**

**Matasic, Jim**

**Moritz, Jim**

**Rutherford, Jim**

**Scinto, Phil**

**Shank, Greg**

**Report back from Statistics Task Group**

* LTMS version 2 for tests with merit systems: Unless there is clear evidence for the specific test that another approach is better, LTMS version 2 would be implemented as it has been done in the Mack T12 in the current LTMS in the sense that all of the parameters are monitored and adjusted individually. Reference test disposition decisions are made based on individual parameter monitoring. Total merits are monitored.
* Paulsboro Meetings: We plan to come to the meetings prepared to show impacts of default and alternative values for LTMS parameters. We can do this by creating a wide selection of values but it will be more productive if we come prepared to evaluate suggestions live. Martin agreed to produce his parallelogram plots for each of the tests. Primary responsibility for individual tests is as follows: ISM – Doyle, ISB – Art, T11 – Todd, T12 – Jim, and C13 – Martin / Jim Moritz.
* Next meeting: September 15, 11:30 AM to 1 PM Eastern

**Review ISB draft**

We started the discussion with new lab definition. Does fast start work with 2 tests instead of 3? After a **lot** of discussion, we agreed to consider the following approach. The LTMS document is a set of guidelines or best practices. Individual tests could implement LTMS by modifying the template (Appendix F) and incorporating it into the test method. We will think about this and discuss more at our next meeting, September 15, 10:30 – 11:30 AM Eastern.