

# Technical Guidance Committee Report

Presented by: Patrick Lang

June 27, 2022

Seattle, WA

# Summary of Activity this Period

- Rating Task Force:
  - Workshop was conducted the week of February 14, 2022 in San Antonio.
    - Test labs only at this workshop
    - Data indicates that the raters are in a good spot
  - Three new tools in play
    - LED lights
    - JMP for real-time evaluations and visuals
    - New web-based data input system
      - Very well received and managed
  - Manual 20 is now available.
  - HD workshop planned for the fall in San Antonio.

# Activity this Period (cont'd)

- Fuels Task Force Activities:
  - No activity this reporting period.
  - Group will reconvene as new items are added to the list.
- The DACA II Review Task Force active this reporting period:
  - Three virtual meetings held.
  - Goal is to complete review by early fall and Submit the DACA III document for industry review.
- Monthly updates on fuel inventories
  - Haltermann has been providing monthly updates as requested.
- Voting Guidelines:
  - Task force was formed at December meeting to summarize voting guidelines for surveillance panel voting to assist chairs when there are controversial issues.
  - First meeting to be scheduled.

# Activity this Period (cont'd)

- Parts Lists In Test Procedures:
  - Request was previously made to consider moving test part lists from the various test procedures to a document on the TMC website.
    - Concerns voiced that this would allow review of changes only by the surveillance panel and not Subcommittee B.
    - Will be difficult for TMC to be the steward of these documents.
    - Sequence VI Surveillance Panel recently voted to not remove the lists from the procedure and move a more descriptive lists to an annex in the test procedure.
    - Moving forward parts lists will remain in procedures but surveillance panels can reorganize within procedure as needed.
    - No further TGC action will be taken on this item.

# Activity this Period (cont'd)

- Laboratory weighting used during initial target setting.
  - Andy Ritchie presented an example of where the targets for VH rocker cover sludge may have been set too low due to equal weighting of all labs during the precision matrix.
  - Action: tasking the TGC to have a face-to-face meeting in the fall to determine best practices on lab weighting when setting test targets as well as addressing if targets should be updated at 10/20/30 tests as stated in the LTMS document. Also discuss with SP chairs the need to act quickly when test severity deviates.