



Test Monitoring Center

Carnegie Mellon University
6555 Penn Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15206, USA

<http://astmtmc.cmu.edu>
412-365-1000


Memorandum: 08-057
Date: October 29, 2008
To: Dave Glaenger, Chairman, Sequence IIIF Surveillance Panel
From: Richard E. Grundza 
Subject: Sequence IIIF Semiannual Report: April 1, 2008 through September 30, 2008

The following is a summary of Sequence IIIF reference tests that were reported to the Test Monitoring Center during the period April 1, 2008 through September 30, 2008.

Lab/Stand Distribution

	Reporting Data	Calibrated as of September 30, 2008
Number of Laboratories:	4	4
Number of Test Stands:	5	5

The following chart shows the laboratory/stand distribution:




The following summarizes the status of the reference oil tests reported to the TMC:

Calibration Start Outcomes	TMC Validity Codes	No. of Tests
Operationally and Statistically Acceptable	AC	7
Operationally Valid, statistically Unacceptable	OC	3
Aborted	XC	1
Total		11


Donated & Industry Support Outcomes	TMC Validity Codes	No. of Tests
Decoded Oil	OG	0
Total		0

Calibrations per start, lost tests per start and rejection rates are summarized below:




The calibration per start rate has decreased with respect to the previous period. The lost test rate has decreased with respect to the previous period. The rejected tests per start rate has increased this report period. All rates for the period compare well with historical rates.

Rejected Test Rate for Operationally Valid Tests




Three tests failed the acceptance criteria during the period. The following charts summarize the reasons and breakdown by parameter for the failed test:

Distribution of LTMS Stand Alarms



Distribution of Stand Alarms by Parameter




There were no LTMS Deviations written this period. There have been four deviations from the LTMS since its introduction in June of 2000.

Two IIIF lab visits were performed this period.

Lost Test Summary

One test was lost this period. The reasons for the lost tests are shown in the following table:

Lab	Reason for Lost Test	Number of Tests	Breakdown of Tests (LC/RC/XC/MC)
B1	Low blowby, cylinder head cracked	1	0/0/1/0



Information Letters

No Sequence IIIF information letters were issued this report period.

Severity and Precision Analysis

Below is a summary of the average Δ/s , pooled standard deviation, and average Δ in reported units for the tests reported during this period. Also below is a summary of the average Δ/s values, by parameter, for all laboratories reporting data during this period.

Industry Severity Summary			
Parameter	Average Δ/s	Pooled standard deviation (degrees of freedom)	Average Δ , in reported units
PVIS	-0.873	0.021 (df=8)	276% Viscosity Increase ¹
APV	0.819	0.34 (df=8)	0.28 Merits
WPD	-0.707	0.37 (df=8)	-0.26 Merits
PV60 ²	0.647	0.22 (df=8)	44.3 % Viscosity Increase ³

¹ At the GF-3 Pass Limit of 275% Viscosity Increase

² Not a pass/fail parameter in the Sequence IIIF test; Sequence IIIFHD use only

³ At the CH-4 Pass Limit of 295% Viscosity Increase @ 60 Hours; Sequence IIIFHD use only.

Average Δ/s Results, by Laboratory				
Laboratory	PVIS	APV	WPD	PV60
A	-0.207	0.903	-0.276	0.584
B1	-1.731	1.583	-0.913	0.069
G	-1.199	-0.321	-1.114	1.822
M2	0.678	1.704	-0.179	-0.950

Percent Viscosity Increase (PVIS)

The industry severity control charts started the period in control, but ended the period with a series of three action and one warning alarm (see Figure 1). Precision control charts were in control for the period. Industry performance was severe for the period, with an average Δ/s value of -0.873 for the period (see Figures 1 & 5), which equates to a shift of 276 % in reported units. Precision for the period has degraded with respect to the previous period (see Figure 9). The severity alarms were caused by failing results from one laboratory, when two results, -3.086 and -2.254 Δ/s from target with oil 1006-2 were reported consecutively. Subsequent tests reported in the period were much closer to target, providing -0.178 and 0.159 Δ/s from target.

Weighted Piston Deposits (WPD)

Severity and precision control charts were in control for the period. Industry was -0.23 merits severe for the period with an average Δ/s value of -0.707 (see Figure 6). Precision has improved with respect to the previous period with a standard deviation of 0.37 (see Figure 10).

Average Piston Skirt Varnish (APV)

Industry severity began the period in action alarm, but cleared for three tests, before sounding a series of warning alarms and ending the period in action alarm (see Figure 3). Industry precision was in control for the period. Industry was mild for the period with an average Δ/s value of 0.819 or 0.28 merits (see Figure 7). Precision for the period has degraded when compared with the previous period with a pooled standard deviation of 0.34 (see Figure 11). The clearing of the action alarm, midway through the period, was the result of one severe result which was -2.074 Δ/s from target.

Average Camshaft-plus-Lifter Wear (ACLW)/Screened Average Camshaft-plus-Lifter Wear (SACLW)

One test failed for ACLW/SACLW this report period.

Percent Viscosity Increase at 60 Hours

The industry control chart for PV60 is shown in Figure 4. The average Δ/s and pooled standard deviation for this period, and previous report periods, are shown in Figures 8 and 12 respectively. This parameter is not a pass-fail parameter in the Sequence IIIF test and is used only in Sequence IIIFHD testing. Therefore, the industry control charts are presented for information purposes only and any alarms shown on those charts do not require action by the Sequence IIIF Surveillance Panel. A review of Figure 4 shows that the industry severity charts were in severity action or warning alarm for most of the period, coming back into control at the end of the period.

QI Deviations

There were no QI Deviations written this period. There have been a total of 25 QI Deviations written since the test was introduced in June of 2000.

Hardware

There were no hardware changes this period.

Reference Oils

Oil	TMC Inventory, in gallons	TMC Inventory, in tests (4 gal/test)	Laboratory Inventory, in tests	Estimated life
1006	41	10	8	Not currently used in IIF ¹
1006-2	4,281	1070	7	~3+ years ¹
1007	366	99	3	Not currently used in IIF ²
1008	29	7	7	No longer shipped ¹
1008-1	1100	275	6	~3+ years ¹
1009	631	157	5	Not currently used in IIF ¹
432	116	28	10	Not currently used in IIF
433	10	2	2	No longer shipped
433-1	467	116	4	~3+ years

¹ Multiple test area reference oil; total TMC inventory shown

² Not reblendable

REG/reg

Attachments

c: F. M. Farber, TMC

Sequence IIF Surveillance Panel

<ftp://ftp.astmtmc.emu.edu/docs/gas/sequenceiii/semiannualreports/IIF-10-2008.pdf>

Distribution: Electronic Mail

List of Figures

- Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4 are EWMA severity and precision control charts and also the CUSUM Δ/s plots of PVIS, WPD, APV, and PV60, annotated with date lines, using the same data set as the EWMA severity and precision control charts. Transformed units are used, when appropriate.
- Figures 5, 6, 7, and 8 are bar charts of average Δ/s , by report period, for PVIS, WPD, APV, and PV60.
- Figures 9, 10, 11, and 12 are bar charts of pooled standard deviation, by report period, for PVIS, WPD, APV, and PV60.
- Figure 13 is the Sequence IIIIF Timeline.

Figure 1

SEQUENCE IIIF INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA




Figure 2

SEQUENCE IIIF INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA

AVERAGE WEIGHTED PISTON DEPOSITS FNL ORIG UNIT RES






Figure 3

SEQUENCE IIIF INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA

AVERAGE PISTON SKIRT VARNISH FINAL ORIG UNIT RES




Figure 4

SEQUENCE IIIF INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA

% VISCOSITY INCREASE @ 060 HOURS






Figure 5 - Percent Viscosity Increase, Average Delta/s




Figure 6 - Weighted Piston Deposits, Average Delta/s




Figure 7 - Average Piston Varnish, Average Delta/s




Figure 8 - Percent Viscosity Increase @ 60 Hours (Sequence IIFHD), Average Delta/s




Figure 9 - Percent Viscosity Increase, Pooled Standard Deviation




Figure 10 - Weighted Piston Deposits, Pooled Standard Deviation




Figure 11 - Average Piston Skirt Varnish, Pooled Standard Deviation




Figure 12 - Percent Viscosity Increase @ 60 Hours (Sequence III FHD), Pooled Standard Deviation




Figure 13 – Sequence IIIF Timeline

Date	Topic	Information Letter
6/10/2000	Revised Ring Sticking definitions implemented	00-2
7/25/2000	Oil Consumption as a test validity criteria dropped	00-2
8/28/2000	First occurrence of LC camshafts in LTMS data	
9/8/2000	Draft 3 of the Sequence IIIF Test Procedure released	00-1
9/27/2000	MRV & CCS Testing of used oil samples added	00-2
9/27/2000	Valve train assembly using build up oil implemented	00-2
10/4/2000	New QI U&L Values implemented	00-2
10/8/2000	First occurrence of Valve train assembly using build up oil in LTMS	00-2
12/6/2000	Oil Consumption as a test interpretability criteria added	00-3
4/25/2001	First occurrence of MB camshafts in LTMS data	
5/23/2001	Condenser Flow QI requirements dropped	01-1
5/23/2001	New oil addition at EOT dropped	01-1
5/23/2001	Condenser part number corrected	01-1
5/23/2001	Revised dipstick calibration curve implemented	01-1
5/23/2001	Revised MRV & CCS test procedures	01-1
5/23/2001	Upper limit of 8000cSt for viscosity measurements established	01-1
5/23/2001	Reexamination of Engine Speed and Condenser Coolant Out Temperature QI U&L values performed; no changes made	01-1
9/8/2001	Screened Average Cam-plus-lifter Wear (SACLW) replaces Average Cam-plus-lifter Wear (ACLW) as pass/fail parameter	01-2
9/8/2001	Valve train assembly using test oil reintroduced into IIIF test	01-2
9/12/2001	First occurrence of engine builds using test oil for valvetrain lubrication in LTMS	
11/28/2001	Sequence IIIF-HD Test Procedure Published	01-3
3/1/2002	Revised Sequence IIIF Test Procedure Published	02-1
3/15/2002	Sequence IIIFHD Test Procedure added to Revised Sequence IIIF Test Procedure. Editorial changes to IIIF Test Procedure also made and do	02-2
4/23/2002	Oil Filter and Oil Cooler Replacement Guidelines issued	02-3
6/1/2002	External Oil Bypass Valve System & Modified Oil Filter Adapter	02-4
12/15/2003	New Honing Procedure approved and added to Assembly Manual	
5/30/2003	New Oil Filter	03-1
6/30/2003	New Front Cover and Oil Filter Housing	03-1
6/30/2003	Sequence IIIG Dipstick	03-1
6/30/2003	Calibrated Flask for Initial Oil Charge	03-1
12/31/2003	New Solvent Specifications	03-1
10/29/2003	Revised Fuel Pressure Specification	03-3
10/29/2003	Automatic Parts Washing Machine Maintenance Requirement	03-3
10/29/2003	Main Bearing Bore Mandrel Procedure made optional	03-3
10/29/2003	Piston Ring Cleaning Requirements	03-3
10/29/2003	Additional Allowable RTV Sealing Compounds	03-3
10/29/2003	Main Bearing Cap Bolt Replacement Specification	03-3
10/29/2003	Revised Camshaft Measurement Procedure	03-3
10/29/2003	Revised Camshaft Lubrication & Installation Procedure	03-3
10/29/2003	Revised Oil Consumption Reporting Procedure	03-3
10/29/2003	Fluid Conditioning Module Equipment Specifications	03-3
10/29/2003	Revised Camshaft Measurement Equipment Specifications	03-3
10/29/2003	Rating Workshop Attendance Requirement	03-3
4/13/2004	Revised Intake Manifold Gasket	04-1
4/13/2004	Additional Allowable Sealing Materials	04-1
5/12/2004	Undercrown Rating Area Definition Clarification	04-2
5/12/2004	Flow Meter Specifications	04-2
5/12/2004	MRV Reporting	04-2
5/12/2004	Amount of Test Oil used for Camshaft & Lifter Lubrication	04-2
11/4/2004	Torque Specs for Powered Metal Rods	04-3
11/4/2004	Editorial Changes to Precision Statements	04-3

11/4/2004	New Front and Rear Main Seals	04-3
11/4/2004	New Exhaust Valves	04-3
11/4/2004	New Oil Pan Gasket	04-3
1/7/2005	Updated Precision Statements	05-1
1/7/2005	Engine Build Worksheets	05-1
1/7/2005	Clarification of Solvent Specifications	05-1
1/7/2005	Provisions for Adjustment to Calibration Period for Donated Oil Test Programs	05-1
8/10/2005	Corrections to Table A7.1	05-2
12/16/2006	Revised Rating Workshop Attendance Requirements	05-3
12/16/2006	Acceptance of Torque Wrench ETW-E180	05-3
4/4/2006	Added requirements for fuel monitoring and revised aromatic content in fuel specification	06-1
8/18/2006	Procedural enhancements from unified engine build	06-2
8/18/2006	Revised Table A4 to clarify methods and measurement units	06-2
10/3/2006	Change to PMNS connecting rods	06-3
11/7/2006	Change in rater calibration requirements	06-4
3/19/2007	Added IIIFVIS test procedure	07-1
4/1/2007	Revised Cylinder head torquing procedure in engine assembly manual	
6/5/2007	Changed designation of IIIFVIS procedure to IIIFVS	07-2
6/5/2007	Changed values in Table A4 to metric	07-2
12/13/2007	Added substitute Rocker Cover Bushing to Test Method	07-3
12/13/2007	Change name of Rater Calibration workshop	07-3
12/13/2007	Added provisions to allow test stand to be calibrated as IIIF and IIIG	07-3