

Rating Surveillance Panel Teleconference
March 13, 2018 9:00am 10:00am

Attendees:

Michael Kasimirsky
Brian Foecking, Vanessa DeCapite
Victor Veiga
Suzanne Neal
Dave Caprone, Jonathon Cales, Amal Savant
Michael Raney, Tim Cushing
Bob Campbell, Jack Kobrinetz, Matt Hayden, Greg Price, Lee Cumberworth
Craig Springer, Pat Lang, Frank Lopez, Bobby Trevino
Tony Barrera, Addison Schweitzer, Jim Moritz, Jesse Rodriguez
Jim Gutzwiller

Approval of previous meeting minutes. The minutes for the 10/26/2017 teleconference were approved unanimously and without comment.

Rating Manuals. ASTM has agreed that this group should take ownership of the Rating Manuals, for revision purposes. Both will need to be published in ASTM format, but what format is to be determined. Probably will not be a Test Method, but not sure what at this point. Jack will chair a Task Force to revise the document and get it up to speed, then turn it over to this group. Group is Jack (chairman), Brian, Bobby, and Tony, with Jesse as a back-up. First meeting of this group will be at the Spring Workshop, with monthly meetings planned thereafter.

Labs will try and bring in-house copies of Manual 20, if possible, for comparison and review.

Workshop Training Flow. Discussion of the TMC's proposed Workshop Training Flow slide, sent out by Jeff Clark in advance of the call.

Identifying Trainers. Labs will contact TMC with who is going to stay and act as trainers. Jack stated that 3-4 would be good, with 5 being plenty. No training will be offered on Friday; training will be on Wednesday and Thursday only.

Goals of the Workshop. Tony asked the question about the purpose of the workshop. Is it to learn about rating, or is it a test to be passed? Discussion indicated that these questions are Surveillance Panel-level decisions and requirements that are out of the control of this group, and that not all attendees have the same requirements for what they have to receive from attending the workshop. One item that was agreed is that the individual Surveillance Panels need to make rating issues within their test a focus, so that these issues can be addressed by this group.

Inexperienced attendees. The issue of inexperienced raters was discussed. All agreed that it was an issue, but exactly how to address this is the issue. The TMC will provide t-shirts (or other identifying clothing) to the rating coaches, so the other attendees can quickly identify who are the coaches present, and the TMC will also work on creating a report or software access for the coaches to use when helping other raters. Access to data, the number of allowable rerates, and other items were also discussed. One idea that was discussed was requiring sponsors of new raters, with the specific logistics of this to be determined.

Workshop parts selection. The discussion moved on to what parts to use at the workshop in April. Brian suggested that VG pistons are obsolete and should be removed. Layout finalized as the same as 2017 Light Duty Workshop, with all VG pistons replaced with VH pistons. All VH pistons will be rated according to the current procedure, i.e. 50% of the skirt.

Lighting Issues. Quick discussion of the move to LED lighting in rating was had. Some converted LED fixtures available for rating parts under LED lighting, compared to fluorescent lighting. This item was tabled, pending additional action by the industry.

The next meeting will be held several weeks after the 2018 Light Duty Rating Workshop.

Rater Task Force Agenda
3/13/2018

Attendance & Membership

Chair's Comments

Approval of Minutes

10/26/17 conference call

Rating Manuals

Kobrinetz to chair small TF to ensure document reflects practice and intentions, then forward to larger panel for approval.

Spring Workshop Workflow

-See TMC document

Spring Workshop Parts (what and how many?)

IIIH's over IIIIG's?

VH's over VG's (with new 50% rating)

GMOD

New/Old Business

~ experienced rater definition

~ precision of parts when n-size is too small

~ lighting

Next Meeting

Spring Workshop Post-Mortem?

Workshop Training Flow

- Second Session
 - No color codes given during rating process
 - Raters will approach trainers when data is flagged
 - They do not interact with JJH except for specific IT issues
 - Trainers will be setup at a station (similar to TMC table)
 - Trainers will have access to rating info to understand needed assistance
 - Once trainer has finished assisting rater, trainer will notify TMC that the record can be unlocked for data re-entry
 - Raters are only given one re-rate per part